Issue Statement (Block 15 of PS Form 8190):

Did management violate Article 41, Section 2.B.4. of the National Agreement by not allowing City Carrier Assistant (CCA) **[name]** to opt on route **[route #]** for **[date(s)]** in the **[Installation name]** Installation, and if so, what should the remedy be?

Union Facts and Contentions (Block 17 of PS Form 8190)

Facts:

1. CCA [Name] has satisfied the 60-day waiting period as defined in the JCAM explanation for Article 41, Section 2.B.5, which states:

CCAs may opt for hold-down assignments 60 calendar days from the date of the first appointment as a CCA. Once a new CCA has met this requirement there is no additional waiting period for applying for/being awarded a hold-down when the employee is reappointed as a CCA or converted to career. If a newly hired CCA previously served at least 60 calendar days as a CCA or career city letter carrier, this rule does not apply.

- 2. CCA [name] placed a bid for an opt/hold-down on Route [route #] on [date].
- 3. Route **[route #]** is a full-time duty assignment of anticipated duration of five days or more in the delivery unit where CCA **[name]** is assigned.
- 4. Route **[route #]** was not opted on by any eligible career employee.
- 5. **[Name]** was the CCA with highest in relative standing to opt on route **[route #]**.
- 6. Management denied an opt/hold-down request on route **[route #]** from CCA **[name]**.

Contentions:

- 1. Management violated Article 41, Section 2.B.4. of the National Agreement when they denied an opt/hold down request on Route **[route #]** on **[date(s)]**.
- 2. CCA **[name]** has the right under Article 41, Section 2.B.4 to exercise his/her preference for an opt/hold-down on route **[route #]** in the delivery unit he/she is assigned which was vacated for an anticipated duration of five days or more.

- 3. The union contends route **[route #]** was not selected by any eligible career employee as an opt/hold down.
- 4. Article 41, Section 2.B.4 of the National Agreement reads in pertinent part:
 - "...City carrier assistants may exercise their preference (by use of their relative standing as defined in Section 1.f of the General Principles for the Non-Career Complement in the Das Award) for available full-time craft duty assignments of anticipated duration of five (5) days or more in the delivery unit to which they are assigned that are not selected by eligible career employees."

Remedy (Block 19 of PS Form 8190):

- 1. That management cease and desist violations of Article 41, Section 2.B. 4 of the National Agreement at the **[Installation name]** Installation.
- That CCA [name] be paid a lump sum equal to the difference between the number of hours he/she actually worked and the number of hours he/she would have worked had the opt/hold-down been properly awarded. This payment is to also include any out of schedule premium pay that results from the change in work schedules.
- 3. That CCA **[Name]** be paid a lump sum of \$100.00 to serve as an incentive for future compliance.
- 4. That all payments associated with this case be made as soon as administratively possible, but no later than 30 days from the date of settlement.
- 5. That proof of payment be provided to **[NALC Official]** upon payment, and/or any other remedy the Step B team or an arbitrator deems appropriate.

Add the following issue statement, facts, contentions, and remedy request if we can prove the violation is repetitive:

Issue Statement:

Did management violate Article 15, Section 3.A of the National Agreement along with policy letter M-01517 by failing to comply with the prior Step B decisions or local grievance settlements in the case file, and if so, what should the remedy be?

Facts:

1. Article 15, Section 3.A of the National Agreement states in relevant part:

The parties expect that good faith observance, by their respective representatives, of the principles and procedures set forth above will result in resolution of substantially all grievances initiated hereunder at the lowest possible step and recognize their obligation to achieve that end.

2. M-01517 states in part:

Compliance with arbitration awards and grievance settlements is not optional. No manager or supervisor has the authority to ignore or override an arbitrator's award or a signed grievance settlement. Steps to comply with arbitration awards and grievance settlements should be taken in a timely manner to avoid the perception of noncompliance, and those steps should be documented.

3. Under the heading *Remedies and Opting*, Article 41 of the JCAM states in relevant part:

In circumstances where the violation is egregious or deliberate or after local management has received previous instructional resolutions on the same issue and it appears that a "cease and desist" remedy is not sufficient to insure future contract compliance, the parties may wish to consider a further, appropriate compensatory remedy to the injured party to emphasize the commitment of the parties to contract compliance.

4. Included in the case file are [Arbitration Awards/Step B decisions/local grievance settlements, etc.] in which management was instructed/agreed to cease and desist violating Article 41 of the National Agreement by not allowing Letter Carriers to opt/hold-down routes that are vacant for at least five days.

Contentions:

- 1. Management violated Article 15, Section 3.A of the National Agreement and M-01517 by failing to abide by the previous Step B decisions/local grievance settlements in the case file. When management violates contractual provisions despite being instructed/agreeing to cease and desist these violations, they have failed to bargain in good faith.
- 2. The Union contends that Management has had prior cease and desist directives to stop violating Article 41 by not allowing Letter Carriers to opt/hold-down routes that are vacant for at least five days. The Union also contends that Management's actions are continuous, egregious and deliberate. The Union has included past decisions/settlements in the case file to support this point.

Remedy:

- 1. That management cease and desist violating Article 15 of the National Agreement.
- 2. That Letter Carrier(s) **[Name]**, **[Name]**, **and [Name]** each be paid a lump sum of \$100.00 to serve as an incentive for future compliance.



National Association of Letter Carriers Request for Information

To: _	Manager/Supervisor)	Date
(N	lanager/Supervisor)	
(Station	n/Post Office)	
Mana	ger/Supervisor	,
	ant to Articles 17 and 31 of the National Aing information to investigate a grievance	
2.3.4.5.	A copy of the Letter Carrier work schedule A copy of the TACS Employee Everythin (grievant) for the period [date to date]. A copy of the TACS Employee Everythin (person who was off work) for the period A copy of the award sheet for all hold dodate]. A copy of the request to opt/hold-down in [name]. A copy of the Letter Carrier seniority list	ing Reports for Letter Carrier [name] ing Reports for Letter Carrier [name] ing Reports for Letter Carrier [name] id [date to date]. iown(s)/opt(s) for the week(s) of [date to date] iownte [route#] submitted by Letter Carrier
	also requesting time to interview the follow	-
2.	Name Name Name	
questi	cooperation in this matter will be greatly a ions concerning this request, or if I may b way, please feel free to contact me.	
Since	rely,	
	Request received by	py:
	Steward	
NALC		Date:



National Association of Letter Carriers Request for Steward Time

To:	Date:
(Manager/Supervisor)	
(Station/Post Office)	
Manager/Supervisor	,
steward time to investigate a (hours/mi	National Agreement, I am requesting the following grievance. I anticipate needing approximately inutes) of steward time, which needs to be
scheduled no later than established in Article 15 are inform you as soon as possib	in order to ensure the timelines met. In the event more steward time is needed, I will ble.
•	er will be greatly appreciated. If you have any quest, or if I may be of assistance to you in some contact me.
Sincerely,	
Oh on Otomani	Request received by:
Shop Steward	Nate: